

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,287	10/23/2003	David Akopian	915-007.52	7135
	590 04/04/2007 DLA VAN DER SLUYS &	EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON, I	LLP	WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B		
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/692,287	AKOPIAN, DAVID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lawrence B. Williams	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 (October 2003.				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	n				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	avvi i om oomalavation.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> is/ard		ected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , ,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		lication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	, ,				
application from the International Burea		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 1 appears to be a method claim, but it is reality seeking patent protection for a judicial exception to 35 U.S.C. 101, i.e., mathematical algorithm. The invention as disclosed in claim 1, is merely functional description language of the mathematical algorithm as shown in the algorithms presented in claims 5-7. The invention as claimed in claim 1 is not directed to a judicial exception because the invention as claimed does require any physical transformation and the invention as claimed does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
 - subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-22 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with functional or operational language.

The structure which goes to make up the device/method must be clearly and positively specified.

The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device/method. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bierly et al. (US Patent 6,421,372 B1) in view of Levison et al. (US Patent 6,366,938 B1).
- (1) With regard to claim 1, Bierly et al. discloses a sequential-acquisition, multi-band, multi-channel, matched filter discloses a method for determining a correlation phase between a signal received at a receiver and an available replica sequence by using a matched filter checking various correlation phases, said matched filter multiplying samples of said received signal with samples of said replica sequence and summing the resulting products to obtain a correlation

value for a specific correlation phase (col. 1, lines 25-41; col. 6, lines 3-18; multiplying and summing are inherent features of the matched filter), which samples of said received signal and which samples of said available replica sequence are shifted relative to each other for each correlation phase which is to be checked (col. 6, lines 28-42).

Bierly et al dose not teach wherein results obtained in the calculations for one correlation phase are used by said matched filter for calculations for a subsequent correlation phase.

However, Levison et al. discloses a method for determining a correlation phase between a signal received at a receiver and an available replica sequence by using a matched filter (col. 6, lines 17-24), wherein results obtained in the calculations for one correlation phase are used by said matched filter for calculations for a subsequent correlation phase (col. 8, lines 36-64).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Levison et al as a method of reducing power consumption (col. 1, lines 20-25).

- (2) With regard to claim 2, Bierly et al. also discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein said matched filter multiplies said samples of said received signal element wise with samples of said replica sequence (col. 6, lines 19-22).
- (3) With regard to claims 3 and 4, though Levison et al. does not explicitly use the phrase "binary sequence", he teaches that the received signal sample values could be real values (col. 5, lines 23-25). It is well known in the art that BPSK, used in the CDMA environment is a real value modulation scheme in which the data is either +1 or -1. Thus the teaching of the signal samples could be real values would encompass applicant's, the received signal comprising a binary sequence and possible values of the binary sequence are +1 and -1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Levison et al as a method of reducing power consumption (col. 1, lines 20-25).

- (4) With regard to claim 8, Bierly et al. also discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising a subsequent coherent and/or non coherent processing for handling signals of low strength (col. 6, lines 40-42).
- (5) With regard to claim 9, Bierly et al. also discloses wherein said received signal is a code modulated signal (col. 2, lines 53-60), and wherein said replica sequence is a replica code sequence (abstract).
- (6) With regard to claim 10, Bierly et al. also discloses wherein said code modulation of said received signal is a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) spread spectrum signal (col. 2, lines 53-60).
- (7) With regard to claim 11, Bierly et al. also discloses use of a method according to claim 1 in a process for acquisition and/or tracking of signals received at a receiver (col. 2, lines 18-24).
- (8) With regard to claim 12, claim 12 discloses a receiver comprising receiving means for receiving signals; and processing means. As noted above, the combination of Bierly et al and Levison et al. disclose all limitations of claim 1. The receiver and processing means would be inherent features.
- (9) With regard to claim 13, Bierly et al. also discloses the receiver according to claim 12, wherein the receiver is a receiver of a global positioning system (col. 10, line 64- col. 11, line 16).

(10) With regards to claims 14-15, Levison et al. also discloses an electronic device comprising a receiver according to claim 12. In view of Levison et al.'s background of the invention (col. 1, lines 28-49) and field of invention (col. 1, lines 20-25), it is inherent that the receiver would be for an electronic device, such as a cordless telephone/mobile terminal capable of communicating with a communication network.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Levison et al as a method of reducing power consumption (col. 1, lines 20-25).

- (11) With regard to claim 16, as noted above, the combination of Bierly et al. and Levison et al. disclose all limitations of claim 1 with the processing means being inherent. Furthermore, Bierly et al. discloses a device comprising means for receiving from a receiver information on signals received by said receiver. Furthermore, Bierly et al. teaches the invention relating to wireless communication receivers inherently includes devices such as mobile terminals, etc. and the implementation of the invention as a GPS receiver. It is well known in the art that a GPS receiver must have a prior knowledge (information) of bit sequences before performing acquisition. Thus a device comprising means for receiving from a receiver information on signals received by the receiver would be an inherent feature.
- (12) With regard to claim 17, as noted above, Bierly et al. teaches the invention relating to wireless communication receivers inherently includes devices such as mobile terminals, etc. and the implementation of the invention as a GPS receiver. The implementation of a GPS receiver itself would inherently imply that the receiver is network element.
- (13) With regard to claim 18, claim 18 discloses limitations similar to those disclosed in claim 16. Therefore a similar rejection applies.

- (14) With regard to claim 19, Bierly et al. discloses the receiver implemented as a GPS receiver (col. 10, line 64-col. 11, line 16). It is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art that in a GPS system a device provides navigational information as assistance to the receiver to be used for tracking. Thus a device for providing assistance data to the receiver would be an inherent feature of the system.
- (15) With regard to claim 20, claim 20 discloses limitations similar to those disclosed in claim 17. Therefore a similar rejection applies.
- (16) With regards to claims 21-22, Bierly et al. also discloses wherein said system is a positioning system (col. 10, line 64-col. 11, line 16).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a.) Akopian discloses in US 2002/0110184 A1 System, Apparatus and Method for Fine
 Acquisition of a Spread Spectrum Signal.
- b.) Akopian discloses in US 2003/0108126 A1 Method and Apparatus for Acquiring a Ranging of a Positioning System.
- c.) Akopian discloses in US 2004/0196894 A1 Determining the Correlation Between Received Samples and Available Replica Samples.
- d.) Akopian discloses in US 2004/0196895 A1 Determining the Correlation Between Received Samples and Available Replica Samples.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,287

Art Unit: 2611

Page 8

e.) Krasner et al. discloses in US 2005/0163201 A1 Rapid Acquisition Methods

Apparatus For GPS Signals.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-3037.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ghayour Mohammad can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lawrence B. Williams

Vaurua // 1

March 31, 2007

MOHAMMED CHAYOUR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER